

# Notes on Straub and Werning (very rough)

Jonathan Heathcote

March 20th 2019

Judd 1985 economy

Two differences relative to rep agent we discussed last time

Two types of agents: capitalists, who don't work + workers who can't save

Initial capital stock  $k_0$

Inelastic labor supply

No government bonds => period by period budget constraint

Constant spending  $g$

Planner's instruments: capital taxes, and lump-sum transfers to / from workers

Planner's objective

$$\max \sum \beta^t \{u(c_t) + \gamma U(C_t)\}$$

with a focus on special case  $\gamma = 0$

Workers

$$c_t = w_t + T_t$$

Capitalists

$$\max \sum \beta^t U(C_t)$$

$$C_t + a_{t+1} = R_t a_t$$

FOC

$$U'(C_{t-1}) = \beta R_t U'(C_t)$$

where

$$R_t = (1 - tax_t) R_t^*$$

$$R_t^* = 1 + r_t$$

Firms

$$\max f(k_t, l_t) - r_t k_t - w_t l_t$$

FOCs

$$f_k(k_t) = r_t$$

$$f_l(l_t) = w_t$$

Market clearing

$$\begin{aligned} l_t &= 1 \\ a_t &= k_t \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$w_t = (1 - \theta)f(k_t) = f(k_t) - f'(k_t)k_t$$

GBC

$$g + T_t = (R_t^* - R_t)k_t$$

where

$$R_t^* = (1 + r_t)$$

so

$$(R_t^* - R_t)k_t = tax_t(1 + r_t)k_t.$$

Use BC + mkt clearing to substitute out for  $R_{t+1}$  in FOC => Implementability constraint

$$U'(C_{t-1})k_t = \beta(C_t + k_{t+1})U'(C_t)$$

This plus resource constraint

$$c_t + C_t + g + k_{t+1} = f(k_t) + (1 - \delta)k_t$$

summarize all the equilibrium conditions.

Why is this so? IC embeds capitalist's budget constraint and FOC. If planner's choices are consistent with those that capitalist wants to choose, and are resource feasible, then they can be implemented, because workers make no choices.

Planner's problem

$$\max \sum_t \beta^t \{u(c_t) + \gamma U(C_t)\}$$

$$\beta^t \lambda_t : c_t + C_t + g + k_{t+1} \leq f(k_t) + (1 - \delta)k_t$$

$$\beta^t \mu_t : \beta U'(C_t)(C_t + k_{t+1}) = U'(C_{t-1})k_t$$

$$u'(c_t) - \lambda_t = 0$$

$$\gamma U'(C_t) - \lambda_t + \mu_t \beta U''(C_t)(C_t + k_{t+1}) + \mu_t \beta U'(C_t) - \mu_{t+1} \beta U''(C_t)k_{t+1} = 0$$

$$-\lambda_t + \beta \lambda_{t+1}(f'(k_t) + (1 - \delta)) + \mu_t \beta U'(C_t) - \beta \mu_{t+1} U'(C_t) = 0$$

Now note from the first and third FOCs that if the optimal policy converges to an interior solution with constant and finite values for allocations and multipliers, then it immediate that in that steady state  $tax_t = 0$ . To get that result we can ignore the second FOC altogether, and thus also ignore the value for  $\gamma$ .

But is that the right solution?

Log utility case

Here things simplify

Guess (and verify)

$$k_{t+1} = \alpha R_t k_t$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} C_t &= R_t k_t - k_{t+1} \\ &= (1 - \alpha) R_t k_t \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C_{t+1}}{C_t} &= \frac{(1 - \alpha) R_{t+1} \alpha R_t k_t}{(1 - \alpha) R_t k_t} = R_{t+1} \alpha = \beta R_{t+1} \\ &= > \alpha = \beta \end{aligned}$$

So now we can combine the budget constraint and the FOC for the capitalist to get the implementability condition

$$\begin{aligned} C_t + k_{t+1} &= R k_t \\ k_{t+1} &= \beta R_t k_t \end{aligned}$$

$$k_{t+1} = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} C_t$$

which gives us

$$C_t = \frac{1 - \beta}{\beta} k_{t+1}$$

as an implementability constraint, which we can just substitute directly into the problem. Then, with zero concern for capitalists, the Ramsey problem becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} &\max \sum \beta^t u(c_t) \\ c_t + \frac{1 - \beta}{\beta} k_{t+1} + k_{t+1} &= f(k_t) + (1 - \delta) k_t \end{aligned}$$

FOC wrt  $k_{t+1}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} -\mu_t \frac{1}{\beta} &= \mu_{t+1} (1 - \delta + f'(k_{t+1})) \\ \mu_t &= \beta^t u'(c_t) \\ \mu_{t+1} &= \beta^{t+1} u'(c_{t+1}) \\ u'(c_t) &= \beta^2 u'(c_{t+1}) (1 - \delta + f'(k_{t+1})) \end{aligned}$$

Compare this to

$$u'(c_t) = \beta u'(c_{t+1}) R_{t+1}$$

where

$$R_{t+1} = (1 - tax_{t+1})(1 + f'(k_{t+1}) - \delta)$$

Clearly  $tax_{t+1} = 1 - \beta$ .

So something must have gone wrong in the general case when we imposed that everything converged.

Suppose

$$\begin{aligned} U(C) &= \frac{C^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} \\ U'(C) &= C^{-\sigma} \\ U''(C) &= -\sigma C^{-\sigma-1} \\ U''(C)C &= -\sigma C^{-\sigma} = -\sigma U'(C) \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_t &= \frac{k_t}{C_{t-1}} \\ v_t &= \frac{U'(C_t)}{u'(c_t)} \end{aligned}$$

So the second condition can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma U'(C_t) - \lambda_t + \mu_t \beta U''(C_t)(C_t + k_{t+1}) + \mu_t \beta U'(C_t) - \mu_{t+1} U''(C_t) k_{t+1} &= 0 \\ \gamma \frac{U'(C_t)}{u'(c_t)} - 1 - \sigma \mu_t \beta \frac{U'(C_t)}{u'(c_t)} - \sigma \mu_t \beta \frac{U'(C_t)}{u'(c_t)} \frac{k_{t+1}}{C_t} + \mu_t \beta \frac{U'(C_t)}{u'(c_t)} + \sigma \mu_{t+1} \frac{U'(C_t)}{u'(c_t)} \frac{k_{t+1}}{C_t} &= 0 \\ \gamma v_t - 1 - \sigma \mu_t \beta v_t - \sigma \mu_t \beta v_t \kappa_{t+1} + \mu_t \beta v_t + \sigma \mu_{t+1} v_t \kappa_{t+1} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \mu_{t+1} v_t \kappa_{t+1} &= -\gamma v_t + 1 + \sigma \mu_t \beta v_t + \sigma \mu_t \beta v_t \kappa_{t+1} - \mu_t \beta v_t \\ \beta \mu_{t+1} &= \frac{-\gamma v_t + 1 + \sigma \mu_t \beta v_t + \sigma \mu_t \beta v_t \kappa_{t+1} - \mu_t \beta v_t}{\sigma v_t \kappa_{t+1}} \\ \mu_{t+1} &= \mu_t \left( \frac{\sigma - 1}{\sigma \kappa_{t+1}} + 1 \right) + \frac{(1 - \gamma v_t)}{\beta \sigma v_t \kappa_{t+1}} \end{aligned}$$

Now take the other FOC

$$\begin{aligned} -u'(c_t) + \beta u'(c_{t+1})(f'(k_t) + (1 - \delta)) + \mu_t U'(C_t) - \beta \mu_{t+1} U'(C_t) &= 0 \\ -1 + \beta \frac{u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} (f'(k_t) + (1 - \delta)) + \mu_t v_t - \beta \mu_{t+1} v_t &= 0 \\ \frac{u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} (f'(k_t) + (1 - \delta)) &= \frac{\beta \mu_{t+1} v_t - \beta \mu_t v_t + 1}{\beta} \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta} + v_t (\mu_{t+1} - \mu_t) \end{aligned}$$

Go back to second FOC and impose  $\gamma = 0$

$$\mu_{t+1} = \mu_t \left( \frac{\sigma - 1}{\sigma \kappa_{t+1}} + 1 \right) + \frac{1}{\beta \sigma v_t \kappa_{t+1}}$$

It is immediate that when  $\sigma > 1$  that  $\mu_t$  grows without bound – and so does  $(\mu_{t+1} - \mu_t)$

Then the last term in the capital FOC is not zero.

Let's suppose  $k_t$  converges to something. (cannot go to zero with  $g > 0$  or explode)

Then  $C_t$  converges too.

But then  $c_t$  cannot converge to a finite value, because that would mean  $\mu_t$  converged, and we would be back at the zero capital tax result – which we know is wrong

So  $c_t$  must converge to zero

Also we know that

$$\begin{aligned} C + k &= Rk \\ 1 &= \beta R \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} C &= (R - 1)k \\ &= \frac{1 - \beta}{\beta} k \end{aligned}$$

So  $k_t$  converges to solution to

$$\frac{1}{\beta} k_g + g = f(k_g) + (1 - \delta)k_g$$

with  $C_t$  converging to

$$\begin{aligned} C_g &= f(k_g) - g - \delta k_g \\ &= \frac{1 - \beta}{\beta} k_g \end{aligned}$$

What capital tax rate supports this? We have

$$1 = \beta(1 - tax)(1 + f'(k_g) - \delta)$$

We can solve the capital steady state equation for  $k_g$  given  $g$  and then we immediately have the steady state tax rate from this last equation.